CORRECTION

Open Access



Correction to: Which is heterogeneous, stress or strength? An estimation from high-density seismic observations

Yoshihisa lio^{1*}, Itaru Yoneda¹, Masayo Sawada¹, Tsutomu Miura¹, Hiroshi Katao¹, Yoichiro Takada², Kentaro Omura³ and Shigeki Horiuchi⁴

Correction to: Earth, Planets and Space (2017) 69:144 https://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-017-0730-3

After publication of this work (Iio et al. 2017), an error was noticed. Figures 10 and 12 were accidentally swapped. This was caused due to a typesetting error.

This is now corrected below (Figs. 10, 12): The publisher apologises for these errors.

*Correspondence: iio@rcep.dpri.kyoto-u.ac.jp

¹ Research Center for Earthquake Prediction, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji, Japan

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2018. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.



Pore pressure indicators P_p are calculated as $P_p = \sigma_n - \tau/\mu$, where μ is assumed as 0.6. Sizes of circles represent the difference of misfits between the fault plane and auxiliary plane (misfitdiff); coloring indicates only data with misfits on the fault plane greater than the error of focal mechanisms, 10°. **b** Histogram of misfits. **c** Relationship between azimuths and dip angles of the fault planes. Misfits are also indicated by the sizes and colors of circles. **d** Relationship between magnitude and misfit. **e** Histogram of the pore pressure indicator, P_p



gram of misfits. **c** Relationship between azimuths and dip angles of the fault planes. **d** Relationship between magnitude and misfit. **e** Histogram of the pore pressure indicator $P_{\rm p}$. Other explanations are the same as for Fig. 10

Author details

¹ Research Center for Earthquake Prediction, Disaster Prevention Research Institute, Kyoto University, Uji, Japan. ² Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan. ³ National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention, Tsukuba, Japan. ⁴ Home Seismometer, Fukushima, Japan.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/ s40623-017-0730-3.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Received: 3 January 2018 Accepted: 3 January 2018 Published online: 07 February 2018

Reference

lio Y, Yoneda I, Sawada M, Miura T, Katao H, Takada Y et al (2017) Which is heterogeneous, stress or strength? An estimation from high-density seismic observations. Earth Planets Space 69:144