## **TECHNICAL REPORT**

# Photochemical and radiation transport model for extensive use (PROTEUS)

Yuki Nakamura<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Naoki Terada<sup>1</sup>, Shungo Koyama<sup>1</sup>, Tatsuya Yoshida<sup>1</sup>, Hiroki Karyu<sup>1</sup>, Kaori Terada<sup>1</sup>, Takeshi Kuroda<sup>1,4</sup>, Arihiro Kamada<sup>1</sup>, Isao Murata<sup>1</sup>, Shotaro Sakai<sup>1</sup>, Yuhei Suzuki<sup>1</sup>, Mirai Kobayashi<sup>1</sup> and François Leblanc<sup>5</sup>

## Abstract

We introduce a new flexible one-dimensional photochemical model named Photochemical and RadiatiOn Transport model for Extensive USe (PROTEUS), which consists of a Python graphical user interface (GUI) program and Fortran 90 modules. PROTEUS is designed for adaptability to many planetary atmospheres, for flexibility to deal with thousands of or more chemical reactions with high efficiency, and for intuitive operation with GUI. Chemical reactions can be easily implemented into the Python GUI program in a simple string format, and users can intuitively select a planet and chemical reactions on GUI. Chemical reactions selected on GUI are automatically analyzed by string parsing functions in the Python GUI program, then applied to the Fortran 90 modules to simulate with the selected chemical reactions on a selected planet. We performed a benchmark test of PROTEUS to confirm its validity, by applying it to the Martian atmosphere and the Jovian ionosphere. PROTEUS can significantly save the time for those who need to develop a new photochemical model; users just need to write chemical reactions in the Python GUI program and just select them on GUI to run a new photochemical model.

Keywords Photochemical model, Graphical user interface, PROTEUS

\*Correspondence:

© The Author(s) 2023. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Yuki Nakamura yuki.nakamura.r2@dc.tohoku.ac.jp

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article





### **Open Access**



#### Introduction

One-dimensional photochemical and transport models are essential for investigating the typical vertical chemical structure of planetary atmospheres and their evolution throughout the history of the planets. They solve continuity-transport equations considering production and loss of each atmospheric species by numerous chemical reactions including photolysis. So far, plenty of photochemical models have been developed for various planetary atmospheres in the solar system (e.g., Kasting et al. 1979; Nair et al. 1994; Kim and Fox 1994; Fox and Sung 2001; Krasnopolsky 2009, 2012; Chaffin et al. 2017) and terrestrial exoplanets (e.g., Rugheimer et al. 2013; Lustig-Yaeger et al. 2019; Grenfell et al. 2013). As the mass and spectral resolutions of measurements for detecting chemical species in planetary atmospheres increase and the theory of chemical kinetic systems becomes more complex, the need for photochemical models with hundreds or thousands of chemical reactions increases.

There are roughly three approaches to develop a numerical code for solving a lot of chemical reactions (Damian et al. 2002). The first approach is a hard-coding approach, in which the developer analyzes the chemical reactions, derives all the production and loss rate terms for each chemical species, and codes them into a program by hand. This approach is easy to develop when the number of chemical reactions is smaller than a hundred, however, it takes a lot of time to develop a code when the number of reactions becomes larger than hundreds or more. It is also difficult to add new chemical reactions into an already hard-coded program. The second approach is a totally integrated approach, in which the chemical reactions are listed in a specific file in a certain format, and they are parsed by a program and stored in a memory at a run time. This approach is flexible in adding new chemical reactions after the development of the core program, and easy to deal with hundreds of chemical reactions without developer's manual derivation. This approach was used in the Atmos model in Fortran language for instance, which was originally developed by Kasting et al. (1979), updated by Zahnle et al. (2006) and recently described in Arney et al. (2016). Recently an integrated Martian photochemical model was developed by Chaffin et al. (2017) in Julia language with a more flexible way of describing reactions and rate coefficients.

The third approach is a preprocessing approach, in which the chemical reactions are listed in a specific file like the totally integrated approach but are parsed by a preprocessor to generate a hard-coded program in a high-level language such as Fortran or C language (Damian et al. 2002). This approach is also flexible in implementing hundreds of chemical reactions and is as efficient as the hard-coding approach. This approach was used in the kinetic preprocessor (KPP) originally developed by Damian et al. (2002), which has been widely used for chemical kinetic models for Earth's atmosphere (e.g., Roldin et al. 2019).

In this paper, we present a new integrated photochemical model named Photochemical and RadiatiOn Transport model for Extensive USe (PROTEUS), with a totally integrated approach. PROTEUS couples Python and

Fortran modules, which is designed for adaptability to many planetary atmospheres, for flexibility to deal with thousands of or more chemical reactions with high efficiency, and for intuitive operation with a graphical user interface (GUI). A Python GUI program integrates a list of chemical reactions, GUI functions controlling the behavior of GUI operation, and a string parsing functions analyzing chemical reactions that output a Fortran 90 module. Fortran 90 modules solve differential equations numerically. Chemical reactions are written in a simple and flexible string format in the Python GUI program, making it easy to add new chemical reactions into the Python GUI program. The feature of PROTEUS that the Python GUI program outputs a Fortran 90 module is similar to the preprocessing approach, leading to a high efficiency, however, the Fortran modules in PROTEUS are not hard-coded but is rather generic.

PROTEUS has been newly developed and independent of other photochemical models or KPPs that have been developed so far.

## Model descriptions

#### Equations

PROTEUS is a one-dimensional photochemical model that solves a system of continuity equations for each species as follows:

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} = P_i - L_i - \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial z},\tag{1}$$

where  $n_i$  is the number density of *i*th species,  $P_i$  is the production rate of *i*th species,  $L_i$  is the loss rate of *i*th species, *z* is the altitude and  $\Phi_i$  is the vertical flux of *i*th species. The vertical flux  $\Phi_i$  for both neutral and ionized species can be expressed as follows:

$$\Phi_{i} = -n_{i}D_{i}\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}\frac{\partial n_{i}}{\partial z} + \frac{1}{H_{i}} + \frac{q_{i}}{q_{e}}\frac{T_{e}/T_{i}}{P_{e}}\frac{\partial P_{e}}{\partial z} + \frac{1+\alpha_{i}}{T_{i}}\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial z}\right) - n_{i}K\left(\frac{1}{n_{i}}\frac{dn_{i}}{dz} + \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{T}\frac{dT}{dz}\right),$$
(2)

where  $D_i$  is the binary diffusion coefficient between *i*th species and the background atmosphere,  $H_i = k_B T_i / m_i g$  is the scale height of *i*th species,  $m_i$  is the mass of *i*th

Page 3 of 15

species,  $\kappa_B$  is the boltzmann constant, g is the gravitational acceleration,  $q_i$  is the charge of *i*th species,  $q_e$  is the elementary charge,  $T_e$  and  $T_i$  are the temperatures of electrons and *i*th species, respectively,  $P_e = n_e k_B T_e$  is the electron pressure,  $n_e$  is the electron number density,  $\alpha_i$  is the thermal diffusion coefficient, K is the eddy diffusion coefficient,  $H = k_B T/mg$  is the mean scale height of the background atmosphere, m is the mean molecular mass of the atmosphere, and T is the neutral temperature. The temperature profiles are assumed to be stationary in time. The third term in Eq. (2) is the ambipolar diffusion term, which is applied only to charged species. The altitude-dependent gravitational acceleration g is calculated by using the mass and radius of the planet.

We referred to the numerical method for solving the system of continuity equations for the photochemical model described in Catling and Kasting (2017) with some corrections. The vertical flux in Eq. (2) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\Phi_i = -(K+D_i)\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial z} - \zeta_i n_i \tag{3.1}$$

$$\zeta_{i} = D_{i} \left( \frac{1}{H_{i}} + \frac{q_{i}}{q_{e}} \frac{T_{e}/T_{i}}{P_{e}} \frac{\partial P_{e}}{\partial z} + \frac{1 + \alpha_{i}}{T_{i}} \frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial z} \right) + K \left( \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{dT}{dz} \right)$$
(3.2)

The spatial derivative of the vertical flux is expressed in a second-order central difference as follows:

$$\left. \frac{\partial \Phi_i}{\partial z} \right|_i = \frac{\Phi_i^{j+\frac{1}{2}} - \Phi_i^{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z^j} \tag{4}$$

where superscripts j + 1/2 and j - 1/2 are the upper and lower interfaces of the *j* th vertical layer. The vertical fluxes at the interfaces j + 1/2 and j - 1/2 are expressed as:

$$\Phi_{i}^{j+1/2} = -\left(K^{j+\frac{1}{2}} + D_{i}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{n_{i}^{j+1} - n_{i}^{j}}{\Delta z^{j+1/2}} - \zeta_{i}^{j+\frac{1}{2}} n_{i}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}$$
(5.1)

$$\Phi_{l}^{j-1/2} = -\left(K^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + D_{l}^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{n_{l}^{j} - n_{l}^{j-1}}{\Delta z^{j-1/2}} - \zeta_{l}^{j-\frac{1}{2}} n_{l}^{j-\frac{1}{2}}$$
(5.2)

where

$$\zeta_{i}^{j+1/2} = D_{i}^{j+1/2} \left( \frac{1}{H_{i}^{j+1/2}} + \frac{q_{i}}{q_{e}} \frac{T_{e}^{j+1/2}/T_{i}^{j+1/2}}{P_{e}^{j+1/2}} \frac{P_{e}^{j+1} - P_{e}^{j}}{\Delta z^{j+1/2}} + \frac{1 + \alpha_{i}}{T_{i}^{j+1/2}} \frac{T_{i}^{j+1} - T_{i}^{j}}{\Delta z^{j+1/2}} \right) + K^{j+1/2} \left( \frac{1}{H^{j+1/2}} + \frac{1}{T^{j+1/2}} \frac{T^{j+1} - T^{j}}{\Delta z^{j+1/2}} \right)$$

$$(6.1)$$

$$\zeta_{i}^{j-1/2} = D_{i}^{j-1/2} \left( \frac{1}{H_{i}^{j-1/2}} + \frac{q_{i}}{q_{e}} \frac{T_{e}^{j-1/2} / T_{i}^{j-1/2}}{P_{e}^{j-1/2}} \frac{P_{e}^{j} - P_{e}^{j-1}}{\Delta z^{j-1/2}} + \frac{1 + \alpha_{i}}{T_{i}^{j-1/2}} \frac{T_{i}^{j} - T_{i}^{j-1}}{\Delta z^{j-1/2}} \right)$$

$$+ K^{j-1/2} \left( \frac{1}{H^{j-1/2}} + \frac{1}{T^{j-1/2}} \frac{T^{j} - T^{j-1}}{\Delta z^{j-1/2}} \right)$$
(6.2)

Then the spatial derivative of the vertical flux can be described as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Phi_{i}}{\partial z}\Big|_{j} &= \frac{\Phi_{i}^{j+1/2} - \Phi_{i}^{j-1/2}}{\Delta z^{j}} = \left[ -\frac{K^{j+1/2} + D_{i}^{j+1/2}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j+1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_{i}^{j+1/2}}{2\Delta z^{j}} \right] n_{i}^{j+1} \\ &+ \left[ \frac{K^{j+1/2} + D_{i}^{j+1/2}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j+1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_{i}^{j+1/2}}{2\Delta z^{j}} + \frac{K^{j-1/2} + D_{i}^{j-1/2}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j-1/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{i}^{j-1/2}}{2\Delta z^{j}} \right] n_{i}^{j} \\ &+ \left[ -\frac{K^{j-1/2} + D_{i}^{j-1/2}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j-1/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{i}^{j-1/2}}{2\Delta z^{j}} \right] n_{i}^{j-1} \end{aligned}$$

$$(7)$$

Equation (1) can then be written as follows:

$$\frac{dn_i^j}{dt} = \mathcal{A}_i^j n_i^{j+1} + \mathcal{B}_i^j n_i^j + \mathcal{C}_i^j n_i^{j-1} + \mathcal{D}_i^j \tag{8}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{j}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{i}^{j}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{i}^{j}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_{i}^{j}$  are expressed as follows except for lower and upper boundaries:

$$\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\ j} = \frac{K^{j+1/2} + D_{i}^{\ j+1/2}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j+1/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{i}^{\ j+1/2}}{2\Delta z^{j}}$$
(9.1)

$$\mathcal{B}_{l}^{j} = -\frac{K^{j+\frac{1}{2}} + D_{l}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j+1/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{l}^{j+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta z^{j}} - \frac{K^{j-\frac{1}{2}} + D_{l}^{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z^{j} \Delta z^{j-1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_{l}^{j-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta z^{j}}$$
(9.2)

$$C_i^{\ j} = \frac{K^{j-1/2} + D_i^{\ j-1/2}}{\Delta z^j \Delta z^{j-1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_i^{\ j-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta z^j}$$
(9.3)

$$\mathcal{D}_i^{\ j} = P_i^{\ j} - L_i^{\ j} \tag{9.4}$$

At the lower boundary,  $A_i^1$ ,  $B_i^1$ ,  $C_i^1$ , and  $D_i^1$  are expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_{i}^{1} = \frac{K^{3/2} + D_{i}^{3/2}}{\Delta z^{1} \Delta z^{3/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{i}^{3/2}}{2\Delta z^{1}}$$
(10.1)

$$\mathcal{B}_{i}{}^{1} = -\frac{K^{\frac{3}{2}} + D_{i}{}^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\Delta z^{1} \Delta z^{3/2}} + \frac{\zeta_{i}{}^{\frac{3}{2}}}{2\Delta z^{1}} + \frac{\nu_{i}{}^{1/2}}{\Delta z^{1}}$$
(10.2)

$$\mathcal{C}_i^{\ 1} = 0 \tag{10.3}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{i}^{1} = P_{i}^{1} - L_{i}^{1} + \frac{\Phi_{i}^{1/2}}{\Delta z^{1}}$$
(10.4)

where  $v_i^{1/2}$  and  $\Phi_i^{1/2}$  are the fixed velocity and flux of *i*th species at the lower boundary. Either  $v_i^{1/2}$  or  $\Phi_i^{1/2}$  is given for each *i*th species as a lower boundary condition, or they are set to zero if not needed. If the lower boundary condition of the *i*th species is the constant density,  $A_i^{1}, B_i^{1}, C_i^{1}$ , and  $D_i^{1}$  are set to zero. Note that the velocity and flux with positive values at the lower boundary conditions direct vertically upward. At the upper boundary,  $A_i^{J}, B_i^{J}, C_i^{J}$ , and  $D_i^{J}$  are expressed as follows:

$$\mathcal{A}_i^J = 0 \tag{11.1}$$

$$\mathcal{B}_{i}^{J} = -\frac{K^{J-\frac{1}{2}} + D_{i}^{J-\frac{1}{2}}}{\Delta z^{J} \Delta z^{J-1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_{i}^{J-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta z^{J}} - \frac{v_{i}^{J+1/2}}{\Delta z^{J}}$$
(11.2)

$$C_i^J = \frac{K^{J-1/2} + D_i^{J-1/2}}{\Delta z^J \Delta z^{J-1/2}} - \frac{\zeta_i^{J-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\Delta z^J}$$
(11.3)

$$\mathcal{D}_{i}^{J} = P_{i}^{J} - L_{i}^{J} - \frac{\Phi_{i}^{J+1/2}}{\Delta z^{J}}$$
(11.4)

where *J* is the number of vertical layers, and  $v_i^{J+1/2}$  and  $\Phi_i^{J+1/2}$  are the fixed velocity and flux of *i*th species at the upper boundary escaping the atmosphere. Either  $v_i^{J+1/2}$  or  $\Phi_i^{J+1/2}$  is given for each *i*th species as an upper boundary condition, or they are set to zero if not needed. Note that the velocity and flux with positive values at upper boundary conditions also direct vertically upward.

The basic equations of the photochemical model are stiff equations in which some of the variables such as the number densities of short-lived species change more quickly than others. Therefore, PROTEUS has applied an implicit scheme described by Catling and Kasting (2017), in which the density and flux are solved simultaneously. Note that the production rate  $P_t^{j}$  and the loss rate  $L_t^{j}$  are functions of the number density. Jacobian components related to the production and loss rates are analyzed by the Python GUI program. The timestep can gradually increase from an initial value  $10^{-8}$  s to ideally more than  $10^{14}$  s, allowing us to investigate from a sporadic event response in several minutes to the evolution of planetary atmospheres in a billion-year time scale.

PROTEUS is basically a one-dimensional photochemical model and currently does not solve horizontal

transportation, but considers the rotation of a planet as options to obtain a simplified global distribution. PRO-TEUS has four options for the simulation geometry: (1) one-dimensional simulation at a given latitude at noon, (2) two-dimensional simulation at noon at each latitude from the north pole to the south pole, (3) two-dimensional simulation at a given latitude with rotation, and (4) three-dimensional simulation at all latitudes with rotation. The three-dimensional simulation has already been applied by Nakamura et al. (2022) for the Jovian ionosphere. Note that our model is fundamentally a onedimensional model that can only deal with the temporal variation of the solar zenith angle and is not able to solve horizontal transport, which is different from the state-ofthe-art three-dimensional photochemical and transport models (e.g., Braam et al. 2022; Cooke et al. 2023; Chen et al. 2018).

#### **Radiative transfer**

PROTEUS uses the solar EUV irradiance model for aeronomic calculations (EUVAC) (Richards et al. 1994) for the reference irradiance spectrum of solar extreme ultraviolet (EUV) flux to calculate the photoionization rates of atmospheric species. EUVAC model provides the solar EUV flux in 37 wavelength bins ranging from 5 to 105 nm. EUVAC model requires the input of F10.7 value and its 81 days running average value. High resolution solar EUV reference flux model such as the highresolution version of EUVAC (HEUVAC) (Richards et al. 2006) and the Flare Irradiance Spectral Model-Version 2 (FISM2) (Chamberlin et al. 2020) will be implemented into PROTEUS in the future. For calculating the photodissociation rates of atmospheric species, we used the reference irradiance spectrum of the solar flux in the wavelength range 0.05-2499.5 nm taken from Woods et al. (2009). Adopting the solar flux taken from EUVAC model and Woods et al. (2009), the radiative transfer is solved by considering the absorption of the solar irradiation by atmospheric species. In PROTEUS, users can flexibly change the wavelength bin size for the solar irradiance of Woods et al. (2009) and absorption/dissociation cross sections of chemical species at each wavelength. The solar flux and cross section data can be provided in any wavelength bins, which are automatically interpolated linearly and binned to the wavelength bin given by the user. The automatically binning algorithm is especially useful when users need high resolution wavelength bins in a limited wavelength range. For example, if users need to resolve the Schumann-Runge bands of the oxygen molecule, the user can set a 0.01 nm resolution at 176-192.6 nm and 1 nm at other wavelength range, which could reduce the computational cost in solving radiation transfer and dissociation rate of atmospheric species even fully resolving the structured Schumann-Runge bands of the oxygen molecule. This algorithm is also useful for resolving a slight difference in absorption cross sections between isotopes (Yoshida, et al. 2023).

The reference solar irradiance spectra are then divided by the square of the distance *r* in the unit AU between the planet and the Sun. The distance *r* between the planet and the Sun at a given solar longitude  $L_s$  is given by

$$r = r_m \frac{1 - e^2}{1 + e\cos(L_s - L_{s,P})}$$
(12)

where  $r_m$  is the mean distance in unit AU between the planet and the Sun, e is the eccentricity of the planetary orbit, and  $L_{s,P}$  is the solar longitude at perihelion. The solar longitude is defined as the angle along the planet's orbit, starting from zero at the northern spring equinox, dividing the season from the northern spring equinox to the northern summer solstice into 90 degrees. The solar zenith angle at latitude  $\theta$  and an hour angle  $\eta$  is given by

$$\cos\chi = \sin\theta \sin\delta + \cos\theta \cos\delta \cos\eta \tag{13}$$

where  $\delta$  is solar declination.  $\delta$  and  $\eta$  are given by

$$\sin\delta = \sin\varepsilon \sin L_s \tag{14}$$

$$\eta = \frac{2\pi t_L}{T_p} \tag{15}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is the tilt angle of the rotational axis,  $t_L$  is the time in second measured from the local noon, and  $T_p$  is the rotational period of the planet. The optical depth  $\tau(z, \lambda, \chi)$  and the photon flux  $I(z, \lambda, \chi)$  at the altitude z and the solar zenith angle  $\chi$  as a function of wavelength  $\lambda$  can be calculated as follows:

$$\pi(z,\lambda,\chi) = \int_{-\infty}^{z} \sum_{s} n_{s}(z)\sigma_{s}^{a}(\lambda)\mathrm{Ch}(z,\chi)dz \qquad (16)$$

$$I(z,\lambda,\chi) = I_{\infty}(\lambda) \exp[-\tau(z,\lambda,\chi)]$$
(17)

where  $n_s$  is the number density of the *s*th species,  $\sigma_s^a$  is the absorption cross section of the *s*th species,  $I_\infty$  is the solar flux at the top of the atmosphere, and  $Ch(z, \chi)$  is the Chapman's grazing incidence integral described in Smith III and Smith (1972) with the improved approximation of complementary error functions from Ren and ManKenzie (2007).

#### Structure of PROTEUS

PROTEUS consists of a Python GUI program and of Fortran 90 modules. The Python GUI program contains a list of chemical reactions for each planet, string parsing





## e\_\_main.f90



Fig. 2 Schematic illustration of the structure of Fortran codes

functions that parse the reactions and reaction rate coefficients selected in GUI, and GUI functions that control the behavior of GUI. Python language was adopted because of its flexibility in parsing strings and its capability in operating GUI. Fortran language was adopted to solve differential equations numerically. The structure of PROTEUS is illustrated in Fig. 1. Chemical reactions listed in the Python file are first read by GUI functions (arrow 1 in Fig. 1). Then, users select reactions on GUI (arrows 2 in Fig. 1), which will be analyzed by string parsing functions (arrow 3 in Fig. 1) to output a Fortran 90 module named "v\_in.f90" and data files (in directories "PLJ\_list" and "settings") including information of the selected chemical reactions (arrow 4 in Fig. 1). The Fortran 90 module "v\_in.f90" is the only module that includes information of chemical reactions, and other Fortran 90 modules are independent of chemical reactions to be used in the simulation. Datafiles of initial density profiles and temperature profiles are read by "v in.f90" (arrow 5 in Fig. 1). The selected reactions, settings such as temperature profile and initial density profiles are applied to the Fortran 90 model when the main Fortran 90 routine "e main.f90" call subroutines in "v in.f90" (arrow 6 in Fig. 1). Users can then run the Fortran model by compiling all the Fortran 90 modules (indicated as "7" in Fig. 1).

The structure of the Fortran codes is illustrated in Fig. 2. It should be noted that each Fortran 90 file contains several modules with distinct functions, but only the Fortran 90 file is indicated for simplicity. The main routine "e\_main.f90" consists of three parts, (1) initialization, (2) calculation, and (3) finalization. The description of each parts and each Fortran 90 files are as follows.

(1) All derived data types are defined in "v\_tdec.f90". Information of the chemical reactions, boundary conditions, and calculation settings are defined in "v\_in.f90". Physical constants and parameters of the planetary orbit are given in "c\_prm.f90". Production rates calculated by other models (e.g., ionization rate calculated by a meteoroid model (Nakamura et al. 2022)) can be input in "p\_Mars.f90" and "p\_Jupiter.f90". The solar EUV flux is calculated by the EUVAC model and the absorption and ionization cross sections are defined in "p\_EUVAC. f90". The solar flux of Woods et al. (2009) is defined and absorption and dissociation cross sections are calculated in "p\_UV.f90".

(2) The radiative transfer is solved and the optical depth is calculated in "p\_photochem\_opticaldepth.f90". Ionization and dissociation rates, reaction rate coefficients and production and loss rates of each species are calculated in "p\_photochem\_rate.f90". The vertical diffusion flux is calculated in "p\_photochem\_transport.f90". The eddy and binary diffusion coefficients are defined in "p\_eddy\_diffusion.f90" and "p\_molecular\_diffusion. f90", respectively. "p\_photochem\_scheme.f90" calculates the Jacobian matrix and advances the timestep using the implicit method.

(3) At last, "p\_io.f90" outputs the calculated simulation results.

#### Graphical user interface

The Python GUI program uses the tkinter package, a standard library of Python to use the Tcl/Tk GUI toolkit (https://docs.python.org/3/library/tkinter.html). The Python GUI allows users to easily and intuitively select a planet, chemical reactions of interest, and run the simulation. An example of GUI is shown in Fig. 3, and the operation of GUI is as follows. Once the user runs the Python GUI program, one can select a planet as indicated ("1" in the upper panel of Fig. 3). After selecting a planet, one can create a new project directory, or select or rename a pre-existing project directory as indicated ("2" in the upper panel of Fig. 3). Then the chemical reaction list for the selected planet appears in the window (the lower panel of Fig. 3). Chemical reactions and their rate coefficients written in the Python GUI program are automatically converted into Unicode and displayed, making them easy to read. One can select or clear reactions by clicking on the checkbox at each reaction ("3" in the lower panel of Fig. 3). By inserting chemical species, reference or label into a search box, only related reactions appear in the window. One can set upper and lower boundary conditions, initial density profiles, vertical grid size, and other calculation settings such as dimension of the simulation, season, latitude, integration time, maximum timestep ("4" in the lower panel of Fig. 3). After all the settings are done, one can press "Output f90 module", then the following files are generated in the selected project directory: a Fortran 90 module named "v\_in.f90", setting files stored in the directory "settings", and information about production and loss reactions of each chemical species, rate coefficient labels, and Jacobian matrix analyzed by the string parsing function stored in the directory "PLJ\_list". The list of chemical species, the number of chemical species, indices, mass, and charge of each chemical species are automatically determined and written in "v in.f90" at this time. Those text files are read by the Fortran 90 module "v\_in.f90". One can also output those files, compile and run the Fortran codes by pressing "Output f90 module & Run model", which requires the installation of an open source software CMake into user's computer ("5" in the lower panel of Fig. 3). All the settings and selected reactions are saved, and users can use the same settings and selected reactions the next time they run GUI. At the end of the simulation, users can quickly plot the density

Choose Pla	18			
	net		Help	Exit
Venus	- 14			
( Constanting )	- i I -			
Earth		Mara	Select Project	
Mars				
Mars		Select or Create Project		
Jupiter		# if you want to create new direc # if you want to use a directory t # if you want to load a directory	ctory, please enter the name of new project (direct that already exists, please press "select" to select and save as new project (directory).	from the project (directory) list b
		please enter the name of new # Location of the project (direct	project (directory) name and press "Save as". ory) is: ./Mars/"project (directory) name"	-
		Create New		2
		Project (directory) list:		
		Select Project_1	Save as	
		Select Project_2	Save as	a na an Ali
1. Se 2. Cr	eate / se	l <mark>anet</mark> elect / rename a	project	
1. Se 2. Cr	elect a pl eate / se	elect / rename a	project	
1. Se 2. Cr	elect a pl eate / se	anet elect / rename a ars/Project1 Set input species Set 2 Grid Ul for Photochemical model Version: 1	project	
1. Se 2. Cr	elect a pl reate / se	anet elect / rename a GUI for Photochemical model tars/Project1 Version: 1 Set input species Set 2 Grid Boundary Condition Calculation settings	project	
1. Se 2. Cr	curent project: ./M	anet elect / rename a ars/Project1 Bet Input species Bet Ardi Bet Input species Bet Ardi Rates	.01 6	Reference
1. Se 2. Cr	curent project: ./M Search reaction Update list al Reactions + cor+ or(fs)	anet elect / rename a ars/Project1 Set part sector Boundary Condition Rates 9.60 × 10 <sup>-44</sup>	.01 Ent 6 Label	Reference Febrenfeld et al. 1197
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Cop: + 0 Cop: + 0;	Select a pl           ceate / se           'urent project: ./M           Search reaction           Update list           :al Reactions           +           c0, + 0.*(5)	elect / rename a dul for Photochemical model ars/Project1 Set laput species Bundary Condition Rates 9.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> × 1500 (Pd) 1.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> × 1500 (Pd) 1.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> × 1500 (Pd)	noi billion 6 Label R1b lin Fox and Sung (2001)	Reference Eshemisid et al. (19) Anicich (1993a), Fere
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Cop: + 0 Cop: + 0 Cop: + N0	Select a pl           ceate / se           curent project: ./M           Search reaction           Update list           al Reactions           + co <sub>2</sub> + or(*3)           + co <sub>2</sub> + or(*3)           + oc <sub>2</sub> + or(*3)	elect / rename a GUI for Photochemical model arss/Project 1 Set Aput species Bundary Condition Rates 9.00 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-14</sup> 5.0	noi and a seq (2001) Blb is Fox and Sung (2001) Blb is Fox and Sung (2001) Blb is fox and Sung (2001)	Reference Eshemisi et al. (19: Anickh (1993a), Eerg Anickh (1993a)
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + N	Search reactor         Search reactor           Update list         Update list           +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub> *           +         NO* + CO <sub>2</sub> +         NO* + CO <sub>2</sub>	Bundary Condition Bundary Cond	Difference in the second state of the second s	Reference Febsonfeid et al. (19) Anickh (1993a), Eerr Anickh (1993a) Scott et al. (1998)
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + N Cos <sup>2</sup> + N(2)	Search reactor         Search reactor           Update list         Update list           0 CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub> *         > CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub> *           > NO* + CO <sub>2</sub> > NV + CO <sub>2</sub>	Bandet Bordary Condition Bordary Condition Borda	Droject .01 Eat 6 Label R1b. in Fox. and Sung (2001) R2 in Fox. and Sung (2001) R4 in Fox and Sung (2001) R5 in Fox and Sung (2001)	Reference Fahaenfaid at al. 119 Anickh 11993al. Ear Anickh 11993al Scott et al. 119981 estimated, see Fox T
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Copr + 00 Copr + N0 Copr + N(P) Copr + N(P) Copr + N(P)	Search reactor         Search reactor           Update flat         Update flat           +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>2</sub> *           +         NO* + CO <sub>2</sub>	Banet Belect / rename a Gui for Photochemical model August species Boundary Conservations Boundary Conservations Boundar	noi contra contr	Reference Febrenfeld et al. (19 Anicich. (1993a), Eerr Anicich. (1993a) Societ et al. (1997) Societ et al. (1997) Societ et al. (1997)
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Cor + 0 Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N Cor + H	Select a pl           ceate / se           Current project: ./M           gearch reaction           Update list           al Reactions           + co <sub>2</sub> + o <sup>*</sup> (*)           + co <sub>2</sub> + o <sup>*</sup> (*)           + NO <sup>*</sup> + CO <sup>*</sup>	Banet           all for Photochemical model           bord art for all for the form           bord art form	noi contra contr	Fohenfold et al. (19) Fohenfold et al. (19) Anicich (1993a), Eer Anicich (1993a) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997)
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Coy + 0 Coy + NO Coy + NO Coy + N(D) Coy + H Coy + H	Search range           Current project:         //M           Search reaction         Update list           Update list            +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> (*)           +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> (*)           +         NO <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub> +         HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           +         HCO <sup>+</sup> + O           +         N <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub>	Ametical elect / rename a           OUI for Photochemical model           Insr/Project1           Set input species           Bundary Conduction settings           I           Rates           9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 2.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 3.60 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 2.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 3.70 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 4.46 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 2.35 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> 1.40 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	noise construction of the second structure of the second struct (2001) R1b in Fox and Struct (2001) R2 in Fox and Struct (2001) R3 in Fox and Struct (2001) R5 in Fox and Struct (2001)	i Reference Fabuentaid et al. (197 Anicich (1993a), Fere Anicich (1993a) Scott et al. (1992) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997)
1. Se 2. Cr Mars   C Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + 0 Cos <sup>2</sup> + N Cos <sup>2</sup> + N	Content of the content of th	Autochemical model           CUI for Photochemical model           Inset input species           Set input species           Set a Grid           Boundary Constraints           I Rates           9.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-12</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 3.40 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 3.70 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 3.70 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 3.70 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 3.70 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 4.45 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 4.45 × 10 <sup>-13</sup> 4.20 × 10 <sup>-14</sup>	Device of the second se	I Reference Febaentoid et al. 119 Anicich 11993ai, Farr Anicich 11993ai Scott et al. 119971 Scott et al. 119971
1. Sec 2. Cr Mars   C Cor + 0 Cor + 0 Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N Cor + H Cor + H Cor + H Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N	Select a pl           ceate / se           Search reaction           Update list           update list           cal Reactions           → co <sub>2</sub> + 0*(*5)           → NO* + cO <sub>3</sub> → NO* + CO	Bet Rest         OUI for Photochemical model           Set Input species         Set 2 original           Bet Input species         Set 10 original           Bet Input species         <	Device of the second se	Fahsenfeld et al. (197 Anicich (1993a), Farr Anicich (1993a), Sort et al. (1987) Sort et al. (1997) Sort et al. (1993a) Anicich (1993a)
1. See 2. Cr	Search reaction           Update list           Update list           Update list           00, + 01(*3)           + 00, + 01(*3)           + 00, + 01(*3)           + HCO' + H           + HCO' + H           + HCO' + H           + HCO' + CO;           + CO + 01(*5)           + 00 + CO;	Bundary Condition         Guil for Photochemical model           Set lapart species         Set 2 of di           Bundary Condition         Caculation settings           9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 4.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 4.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 4.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 4.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 9.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 5.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> × (300017) <sup>11</sup> 1.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.00 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	Device of the second se	l Reference Fahaenteid et al. (19): Anicich (1993a), Farç Anicich (1993a) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997) Scott et al. (1997) Enhanthid and Farg Anicich (1993a) Anicich (1993a), Milk Anicich (1993a)
1. See 2. Cr Mars   C Chemic Copr + 0 Copr + 0 Copr + 0 Copr + N Copr + N C	Search reaction           (urent project: ./M           (search reaction)           Update list           cal Reactions           + CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sub>1</sub> **           + NO* + CO <sub>2</sub> + HCO* + H           + HCO* + O           + H* + CO <sub>2</sub> + O* + CO           + H* + CO           + O* + CO           + H* + CO           + H* + CO           + O* + CO           + H* + CO           + O* + CO           + CO* + N* + CO           + CO* + CO           + HC* + H	Bundard         Gul for Photochemical model           Set Boundary Condition         Set 2 Grid           Bundary Condition         Calculation settings           9.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> Set 2 Grid           5.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> Calculation settings           9.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> Calculation settings           9.00 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> S.50 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> 5.50 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> S.50 × 10 <sup>-11</sup> 3.40 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 3.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup> 1.50 × 10 <sup>-10</sup>	Device of the second se	Reference           Febrenfeld et al. (19):           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1992)           estimated, see Fort           Scott et al. (1992)           Anicich (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1992)           Scott et al. (1992)
1. See 2. Cr	Search reaction           Update finit           Update finit           Update finit           +           COr + Or(*5)           +      <	Bundary Condition         Gut for Photochemical model           Set in put species         Set 2 or 01           Bundary Condition         Caculation settings           Bundary Condition <td>Device of the second state of the second state</td> <td>Reference           Fehrenfeld et al. (19)           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1997)</td>	Device of the second state	Reference           Fehrenfeld et al. (19)           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Anicich (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1993a)           Scott et al. (1997)
1. See 2. Cr	Pelect a pl           ceate / se           Search reaction           Update list           all Reactions           + CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> (*)           + NO <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub> + HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           + HCO <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub> + HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           + HCO <sup>+</sup> + CO           + CO <sup>+</sup> + CO           + HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           + HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           + HC <sup>+</sup> + CO           + HC <sup>+</sup> + CO           + NC <sup>+</sup> + CO           + HC <sup>+</sup> + CO           + NC <sup>+</sup> + CO	Bundary Constraints	Display of the second s	Reference           Febsonfald et al. (197           Anicich. 1993al, Earg           Anicich. 1993al           Scott et al. (197)           Scott et al. (1997)           Scott et al. (1993)           Anicich. 1993a, Mick           Anicich. 1993a, Mick           Scott et al. (1997)
1. Sec 2. Cr	Content project:         //M           Search reaction         Update list           Update list         Update list           +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> (*3)           +         CO <sub>2</sub> + O <sup>+</sup> (*3)           +         NO <sup>+</sup> + CO <sub>2</sub> +         HCO <sup>+</sup> + H           +         H <sup>+</sup> + CO           +         NO <sup>+</sup> + C           +         NO <sup>+</sup> + C           +         NO <sup>+</sup> + O	Banet           Coll for Photochemical model           Set Grid           Set Grid           Coll for Photochemical model           Set Grid           Set Orth	O1 Ext 0         O2 0           Ext 0         6           1         6           1         1           1         1           2         1           1         1           2         1           1         1           2         1           2         1           3         1           5         1           6         1           7         1           7         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           8         1           10         1           11         1           12         1           13         1           14         1           15         1           16         1           17	l Reference Fahaenfield et al. (192 Anickh 11938a), Earg Anickh 11938a) Scott et al. (1920) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1928) Anickh 11938a), Mai Anickh 11938a), Mai Scott et al. (1928) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1927) Scott et al. (1928) Scott et al. (1928) Scott et al. (1928)
1. See 2. Cr Mars   C Cor + 0 Cor + 0 Cor + N(C) Cor + N(C)	Color         Color         Color           > Color         0.0°         0.0°           > Color         0.0°         0.0°           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color           > NO° + Color         NO° + Color         NO° + Color	Beinet           Beinet         GUI for Photochemical model           Set input species         Set 2 of di           Beinet species         Set 3 of di	Device of the second se	Reference           Enhanniaid et al. 1192           Anicich. 11933a, Earg           Anicich. 11933a           Sont et al. 11987           Sont et al. 11983           Anich. 11983a           Anich. 11983a           Sont et al. 11987           Sont et al. 11988           Sont et al. 11988           Sont et al. 11988           Sont et al. 11988
1. Sec 2. Cr Mars   C Cor + 0 Cor + 0 Cor + 0 Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N Cor + N Cor + H Cor + H Cor + H Cor + H Cor + H Cor + N Cor + N	All controls         All controls           Current project:         ./M           Search reaction:         Update list           Update list         Update list           at Reactions:	Bet Applied         Guil for Photochemical model           Set Applied         Set A rid           Bet Applied         Set A rid           Bet Applied         Set A rid           Bet A rid	Display of the stand Surg (2001) R12 in Fox and Surg (2001) R2 in Fox and Surg (2001) R3 in Fox and Surg (2001) R4 in Fox and Surg (2001) R5 in Fox and Surg (2001) R1 in Fox (2001) R1 in Fox and Surg (2001) R1 in Fox (2001) R1 i	Febrence Febrenciel et al., 119 Anicich, 11933a, Fer Anicich, 11933a Scott et al., 11927 Scott et al., 11997 Scott et al., 119971 Scott et al., 119981 Scott

- 3. Select chemical reactions
- 4. Set initial density profile data, boundary conditions, vertical grid, and calculation settings
- 5. Output F90 modules and run the model
- 6. Plot density profiles after the simulation

#### Examples of chemical reaction list in Python code

#### м : Total atmospheric number density # Basic format · Electron ρ\_ reaction rate list.append(" Reactants -> Products : Rate coefficient for T = T1 ~ T2 [K] @ Reference # Label ") Tn : Neutral temperature Ti : lon temperature Te Electron temperature # Photo-ionization and dissociation reactions K0 : Low-pressure-limit rate coefficient Reaction rates are calculated by using reaction\_rate\_list.append(" CO2 + hv -> CO2+ + e- : Photoionization ") : High-pressure-limit rate coefficient kinf local photon flux and cross sections reaction\_rate\_list.append(" CO2 + hv -> CO + O : Photodissociation ") # Normal two-body reactions reaction\_rate\_list.append(" O(1D) + N2O -> N2 + O2 : 4.9e-11 # R75 in Nair et al. [1994] ") reaction\_rate\_list.append(" NO + O3 -> NO2 + O2 : 2.0e-12 \* exp(-1400/Tn) # R76 in Nair et al. [1994] ") # Reaction with several expression of rate coefficient at different temperature ranges for T = ~ 1000 [K] reaction\_rate\_list.append(" N2+ + O2 -> N2 + O2+ : 5.10e-11 \* (300/Ti)^1.16 88\ 1.26e-11 \* (1000/Ti)^(-0.67) for T = 1000 ~ 2000 [K] && \ for T = 2000 ~ [K] @ Scott et al. [1999], Dotan et al. [1997] # R23 in Fox and Sung [2001] ") 2 396-11 # Pressure-dependent three-body reaction reaction\_rate\_list.append(" H + O2 + M -> HO2 + M : k0 = 8.8e-32 \* (300/Tn)^1.3 88\ kinf = 7.5e-11 \* (300/Tn)^(-0.2) # Chaffin et al. [2017] ") # Reaction with unusual rate coefficient equation reaction\_rate\_list.append(" N2+ + N2 + M -> N4+ + M : 6.8e-29 \* (300/Tn)^2.23 \* (1-0.00824\*(300/Tn)^0.89) @ Troe [2005] # R31 in Pavlov [2014] ") # Cluster ion reactions reaction\_rate\_list.append(" H+(H2O)4 + H2O + M -> H+(H2O)5 \*46e-28 \* (300/Tn)^14 # R41 in Verronen et al. [2016] ") + M reaction\_rate\_list.append(" H+(H2O)4 + CO3-(H2O)2 -> H + 6H2O + O + CO2 : 6.0e-8 \* (300/Tn)^0.5 # R9 in Verronen et al. [2016] ") Fig. 4 Format of the chemical reaction list in the Python GUI program. Examples of chemical reactions are taken from Nair et al. (1994), Fox and Sung (2001), Scott et al. (1999), Dotan et al. (1997), Chaffin et al. (2017), Troe (2005), Pavlov (2014), and Verronen et al. (2016)

profiles by pressing "Plot setting" button ("6" in the lower panel of Fig. 3).

in which the rate coefficient is valid, one can describe the

: Photon

hν

#### Format of chemical reaction list

The main feature of PROTEUS is the simple format of chemical reaction list in the Python GUI program and on the GUI. Format of chemical reaction list in the Python GUI program and some examples are illustrated in Fig. 4.

Any reactions and their rate coefficients are described in the following string format in the Python GUI program. Reaction and rate coefficient are separated by a colon ":", and left- and right-hand sides of the reaction are separated by an arrow "->". Chemical species can be written simply as string. For instance, ionized species " $N_2^+$ ", " $CO_2^+$ ", and " $H^+(H_2O)_4$ " are simply described as "N2+", "CO2+", and "H+(H2O)4", respectively, and electron is described as "e-". Isotope species such as "<sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>" can be written as "^13CO2". Each chemical species and an addition operator "+" or an arrow "->" should be separated by at least one space. PROTEUS also deals with three-body reactions with the expression "M" describing the total atmospheric number density. Temperature-dependent rate coefficient equation can be simply described as string in infix notation. Addition operator "+", subtraction operator "-", multiplication operator "\*", division operator "/", exponentiation operator " $^{\rm ``}$  or "\*\*", exponential function "exp()" square root function"sqrt()", neutral, ion and electron temperatures "Tn", "Ti", and "Te", respectively, altitude in km "h", decimal fraction values such as "1.16", integer values such as "300", and values in E notation such as "4.9e–11" can be used in the rate coefficient equation. If there is a temperature range  $T_1-T_2$  [K] temperature range by "for  $T = T_1 \sim T_2 [K]$ ".

Reactions and their rate coefficients selected on GUI are parsed by the string parsing functions in the Python GUI program. Index for each chemical species is automatically determined by the string parsing function, and mass and charge of each chemical species are also automatically identified by the string parsing function. String of each species are automatically divided into constituent elements and mass is calculated by the sum of mass of all the elements, and charge is calculated by counting the number of "+" and "-" in the string of each species. The string parsing function analyzes which reaction produces or lose each chemical species. The rate coefficient expressions written in infix notation are first separated into tokens. Then the order of tokens in infix notation are converted into reverse Polish notation (i.e., postfix notation) and automatically labeled. The Fortran 90 modules calculates the reaction rate coefficient using the labeled tokens arranged in reverse Polish notation. This method allows PROTEUS to process a variety of expression of temperature- and altitude-dependent rate coefficients (as seen in Fig. 4) at high computational speed. All the information needed to calculate production rate, loss rate and Jacobian matrix are output as text files, which will be read by Fortran 90 module to apply the information about chemical reactions selected. The number of chemical species and reactions, mass and charge of chemical species, rate coefficient of each reaction and contribution of each reaction to production/loss of each species are automatically applied to Fortran 90 modules by reading those text files. Those features make PROTEUS a flexible

photochemical model that can be applied to many planetary atmospheres with different set of chemical reactions.

#### Software requirements

PROTEUS is compatible with Python 3 and does not support Python 2. The Python libraries, Matplotlib and Numpy, need to be installed on the user's computer. Additionally, the open-source software CMake needs to be installed on the user's system.

### Application to planetary atmospheres Mars

For the application to the Martian atmosphere, parameters of Mars and its orbit are implemented into PRO-TEUS; The mean distance between Mars and the sun is  $r_m$  = 1.524 AU, the eccentricity is e = 0.0934, the solar longitude at perihelion is  $L_{s,P} = 250^\circ$ , tilt angle of the rotational axis is  $\varepsilon = 25.2^\circ$ , the rotational period is  $T_p = 88,775$  s, the mass of Mars is  $6.417 \times 10^{23}$  kg, and the mean radius of Mars is 3389.5 km (Patel et al. 2002; Williams 2021).

The cross sections implemented into PROTEUS for the application to Mars are as follows. Ionization cross sections of  $CO_2$ , CO,  $O_2$ ,  $N_2$ , and O are taken from Schunk and Nagy (2009). Absorption cross sections and quantum yields for calculating dissociation rates of atmospheric molecules are listed in Table 1. In order to validate PROTEUS, we compared with one-dimensional Martian photochemical model by Chaffin et al. (2017) (hereafter called as C17 model), using the same chemical reactions and their rate coefficients, boundary conditions, temperature and water vapor profiles, and binary and eddy diffusion coefficient profiles. The neutral density profiles simulated by PROTEUS and C17 model are shown in

Fig. 5. PROTEUS and C17 model are in good agreement except for small differences for  $O_3$ , OH,  $HO_2$  and  $H_2O_2$ . Those differences could be due to the difference in the photo-absorption and dissociation cross sections used in the two models.

#### Jupiter

For the application to the Jovian atmosphere, parameters of Jupiter and its orbit are implemented into PROTEUS; The mean distance between Jupiter and the sun is  $r_m$ =5.2 AU, the eccentricity e, the solar longitude at perihelion  $L_{s,P}$ , and tilt angle of the rotational axis  $\varepsilon$  are set to zero for simplicity, the rotational period is assumed to be the System III period related to the period of radio burst  $T_p$ = 35,729.71 s, the mass of Jupiter is  $1.898 \times 10^{27}$  kg, and the equatorial radius of Jupiter is 71,492 km (Williams 2021; Russell et al. 2001).

Ionization cross sections of hydrogen molecule and atom, helium atom, hydrocarbon molecules ( $CH_4$ ,  $C_2H_2$ ,  $C_2H_4$ , and  $C_2H_6$ ) and metallic atoms (Fe, Mg, Si, and Na) implemented into PROTEUS for the application to the Jovian ionosphere are found in Appendix of Nakamura et al. (2022) and references therein.

PROTEUS has recently been applied to the Jovian ionosphere by Nakamura et al. (2022). Chemical reactions regarding hydrocarbon ion chemistry used in the simulation are described in Nakamura et al. (2022). Ion density profiles calculated by PROTEUS with 218 reactions are shown in Fig. 6. Simulated ion density profiles are in good agreement with Kim and Fox (1994), as discussed in Nakamura et al. (2022). Slight differences seen in the shape of profiles of hydrocarbon ions could result from the difference in the input density profiles of



**Fig. 5** Application of PROTEUS to the Martian atmosphere. Vertical profiles of neutral density simulated by PROTEUS (solid) and one-dimensional Martian photochemical model by Chaffin et al. (2017) (dashed). The same boundary conditions, chemical reactions and their rate coefficient, binary and eddy diffusion coefficients, and temperature profile, were used in both simulations for validation



**Fig. 6** Application of PROTEUS to the Jovian ionosphere. Ion density profiles of the Jovian ionosphere simulated by PROTEUS. Profiles are the same as Fig. 3a in Nakamura et al. (2022) that used PROTEUS

hydrocarbon molecules, which are not indicated in Kim and Fox (1994).

#### Conclusions

We have newly developed a flexible one-dimensional photochemical model named PROTEUS, which consists of a Python GUI program and of Fortran 90 modules. Chemical reactions can be easily implemented into Python code as a simple string format, and users can intuitively select a planet and chemical reactions to be considered in their calculation on GUI. Chemical reactions selected on GUI are automatically analyzed by a string parsing code written in Python, which will be applied to Fortran 90 modules to simulate with selected chemical reactions on a selected planet. This paper presents examples of PROTEUS application to the Martian atmosphere and the Jovian ionosphere, which are in good agreement with previous numerical models. PROTEUS can significantly save time for those who need to develop a new photochemical model; they just need to add chemical reactions in the Python code and just select them on GUI to run a new photochemical model. PROTEUS can be easily extended to other planets and satellites, e.g., Venus, Earth, Titan, and exoplanets in the future.

#### Appendix

See Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1 List of cross sections and quantum yields implemented into PROTEUS

	Species or reactions	Wavelength range	References
$\sigma^a$	CO <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	0.1254–138.8869 nm	Huestis and Berkowitz (2011) <sup>a</sup>
		138.8913–212.7660 nm	Schmidt et al. (2013)
$\phi$	$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow CO + O$	138.8913–212.7660 nm	(Assumed to be 1.0)
$\sigma^d$	$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow CO + O(^1D)$	0.1–138 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^{a}$	<sup>13</sup> CO <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	138.8913–212.7660 nm	Schmidt et al. (2013)
$\sigma^{a}$	$O_2$ (absorption)	0.99–43.5 nm	Huffman (1969) <sup>a</sup>
	- ·	49.043646–103.066357 nm	Holland et al. (1993) <sup>a</sup>
		103.62–107.74 nm	Lee (1955) <sup>a</sup>
		108.75–114.95 nm	Ogawa and Ogawa (1975) <sup>a</sup>
		115–130.02 nm	Lu et al. (2010) <sup>a</sup>
		130.04–175.24 nm	Yoshino et al. (2005) <sup>a</sup>
		175.4–204 nm	Minschwaner et al. (1992) <sup>a</sup>
		193–245 nm	Yoshino et al. (1992) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$O_2 + hv \rightarrow O + O$	103–242 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$O_2 + hv \rightarrow O + O(^1D)$	103–175 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^a$	H <sub>2</sub> O (absorption)	6.2–59.04 nm	Chan et al. (1993) <sup>a</sup>
		60.01–114.58 nm	Gürtler et al. (1977) <sup>a</sup>
		114.80–120.35 nm	Mota et al. (2005) <sup>a</sup>
		120.38–139.99 nm	Yoshino et al. (1996, 1997) <sup>a</sup>
		140.00–196.00 nm	Chung et al. (2001) <sup>a</sup>
		196.031–230.413 nm	Ranjan et al. (2020) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$H_2O + hv \rightarrow H + OH$	105 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$H_2O + hv \rightarrow H_2 + O(^1D)$	105–145 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	O <sub>3</sub> (absorption)	0.06—210 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
		213.330—1100 nm	Gorshelev et al. (2014)
			Serdyuchenko et al. (2014)
$\phi$	$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O_2 + O(^1D)$	220—340 nm	Matsumi et al. (2002) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$O_3 + hv \rightarrow O_2 + O$	220—340 nm	(Assumed to be 1 – $\phi(O_3 \rightarrow O(^1D)))$
$\sigma^{a}$	HO <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	190—260 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$HO_2 + hv \rightarrow OH + O$	190—260 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	121.33—189.70 nm	Schürgers and Welge (1968) <sup>a</sup>
		190.00—255.00 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)

#### Table 1 (continued)

	Species or reactions	Wavelength range	References
$\overline{\phi}$	$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow HO_2 + H$	121—230 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$H_2O_2 + hv \rightarrow OH + OH$	121—340 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	OH (absorption)	0.06–282.3 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$OH + hv \rightarrow H + O$	124.5–261.65 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$OH + hv \rightarrow H + O(^{1}D)$	93–511.4 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^{a}$	H <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	0.1–110.86 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$H_2 + hv \rightarrow H + H$	84.48–110.86 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^a$	N <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	0.1–103.8 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$N_2 + hv \rightarrow N + N(^2D)$	51.96–103.8 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^a$	NO (absorption)	0.1–191 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$NO + hv \rightarrow N + O$	0.1–191 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\sigma^{a}$	$NO_2$ (absorption)	0.06–238 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
		238.08219–666.57808 nm	Vandaele et al. (1998) <sup>a</sup>
$\sigma^d$	$NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O(^1D)$	108–243.88 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
$\phi$	$NO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + O$	108–238 nm	Huebner and Mukherjee (2015) <sup>b</sup>
T	2	239–300 nm	(Assumed to be 1)
		300–422 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	$NO_3$ (absorption)	400–691 nm	Wayne et al. (1991) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$NO_3 + hv \rightarrow NO_2 + O$	400–640 nm	Johnston et al. (1996) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$NO_3 + hv \rightarrow NO + O_2$	586–640 nm	Johnston et al. (1996) <sup>a</sup>
$\sigma^{a}$	$N_2O$ (absorption)	16.8–59.0 nm	Hitchcock et al. (1980) <sup>a</sup>
		60.0–99.9 nm	Cook et al. (1968) <sup>a</sup>
		108.20–122.18 nm	Zelikoff et al. (1953) <sup>a</sup>
		122.25–172.88 nm	Rabalais et al. (1971) <sup>a</sup>
		173–210 nm	Selwyn et al. (1977) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$N_2O + hv \rightarrow N_2 + O(^1D)$	140–230 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	$N_2O_5$ (absorption)	152–198 nm	Osborne et al. (2000) <sup>a</sup>
		200–260 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
		260–410 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$N_2O_5 + hv \rightarrow NO_3 + NO_2$	248–410 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$N_2O_5 + hv \rightarrow NO_3 + NO + O$	152–289 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	HNO <sub>2</sub> (absorption)	184–396 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$HNO_2 + hv \rightarrow NO + OH$	All	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	HNO <sub>3</sub> (absorption)	192–350 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$HNO_3 + hv \rightarrow HNO_2 + O$	193–260 nm	Estimated <sup>c</sup>
$\phi$	$HNO_3 + hv \longrightarrow HNO_2 + O(^1D)$	193–222 nm	Estimated <sup>c</sup>
$\phi$	$HNO_3 + hv \rightarrow OH + NO_2$	193–350 nm	Estimated <sup>c</sup>
$\sigma^{a}$	$HO_2NO_2$ (absorption)	190–280 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
		280–350 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$HO_2NO_2 + hv \rightarrow HO_2 + NO_2$	190–350 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$HO_2NO_2 + hv \rightarrow OH + NO_3$	190–350 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\sigma^{a}$	H <sub>2</sub> CO (absorption)	224.56–376 nm	Meller and Moortgat (2000) <sup>a</sup>
$\phi$	$H_2CO + hv \rightarrow H_2 + CO$	250–360 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)
$\phi$	$H_2CO + hv \rightarrow H + HCO$	250–360 nm	Burkholder et al. (2015)

 $\sigma^a$ : Absorption cross section,  $\sigma^d$ : dissociation cross section,  $\phi$ : quantum yield, <sup>a</sup>: data files are taken from The MPI-Mainz UV/VIS Spectral Atlas (Keller-Rudek et al. 2013), <sup>b</sup>: data files are taken from PHIDRATES (Huebner and Mukherjee 2015), <sup>c</sup>: quantum yields for each photolysis reaction of HNO<sub>3</sub> were estimated by quantum yield of each product (OH, O, and O(<sup>1</sup>D)) obtained by Johnston et al. (1974), Turnipseed et al. (1992), and Margitan and Watson (1982).

Species or reactions	References
$\overline{O_2 + hv \rightarrow O_2^+ + e^-}$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$O_2 + hv \rightarrow O^+(^4S) + O + e^-$	
$O + hv \rightarrow O^+(^4S) + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$O + hv \rightarrow O^+(^2D) + e^-$	
$O + hv \rightarrow O^+(^2P) + e^-$	
$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow CO_2^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow CO^+ + O + e^-$	
$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow O^+(^4S) + CO + e^-$	
$CO_2 + hv \rightarrow C^+ + O_2 + e^-$	
$CO + hv \rightarrow CO^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$CO + hv \rightarrow O^{+}(^{4}S) + C + e^{-}$	
$CO + hv \rightarrow C^+ + O + e^-$	
$N_2 + hv \rightarrow N_2^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$N_2 + hv \rightarrow N^+ + N(^2D) + e^-$	
$H + hv \rightarrow H^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$H_2 + hv \longrightarrow H_2^+ + e^{}$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$H_2 + hv \rightarrow H^+ + H + e$	
$He + hv \rightarrow He^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$CH_4 + hv \rightarrow CH_4^+ + e^-$	Schunk and Nagy (2009)
$CH_4 + hv \rightarrow CH_3^+ + H + e^-$	
$CH_4 + hv \rightarrow H_2^+ + e^- + products$	
$C_2H_2 + hv \longrightarrow C_2H_2^+ + e^-$	Souza and Srivastava (1996), Ruberti et al. (2013)
$C_2H_4 + hv \rightarrow C_2H_4^+ + e^-$	Ibuki et al. (1989)
$C_2H_4 + hv \rightarrow C_2H_3^+ + H + e^-$	
$C_2H_4 + hv \rightarrow C_2H_2^+ + e^- + products$	
$C_2H_4 + h\nu \rightarrow C_2H^+ + e^- + products$	
$C_2H_6 + hv \longrightarrow C_2H_6^+ + e^-$	Au et al. (1993)
$C_2H_6 + hv \longrightarrow C_2H_5^+ + H + e^-$	
$C_2H_6 + h\nu \rightarrow C_2H_4^+ + e^- + products$	
$C_2H_6 + hv \rightarrow C_2H_3^+ + e^- + products$	
$C_2H_6 + hv \rightarrow C_2H_2^+ + e^- + products$	
$Na + hv \rightarrow Na^+ + e^-$	Verner et al. (1993)
$Mg + hv \rightarrow Mg^+ + e^-$	Verner et al. (1993)
$Fe + hv \rightarrow Fe^+ + e^-$	Verner et al. (1993)
$Si + hv \rightarrow Si^+ + e^-$	Verner et al. (1993)

Photoabsorption, dissociation cross sections and quantum yield implemented into PROTEUS are listed in Table 1. Photoionization cross sections implemented into PROTEUS are listed in Table 2.

#### Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the International Joint Graduate Program in Earth and Environmental Sciences, Tohoku University (GP-EES). YN was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22KJ0280. TV was also supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP23KJ0093, JP23H04645, and JP22KK0044. SS supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22K03695. HK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP23KJ0201. SK was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22KJ0214. NT was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18H05439, JP18KK0093, JP19H00707, JP20H00192, and JP22H00164.

#### Author contributions

YN conceptualized PROTEUS and led its whole part of the development and validation. NT also contributed to the conceptualization and supervised the development. SK contributed to the development of a part of GUI and the implementation of cross sections. TY and HK developed a part of radiation transport and the implementation of cross sections. KT implemented chemical reactions and validated the application of PROTEUS to the Martian atmosphere. TK, AK, IM, SS, YS, and MK provided suggestions for the concept, structure, and operation of PROTEUS. FL checked and revised the manuscript.

#### Funding

YN is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP22J14954.

#### Availability of data and materials

The source codes of PROTEUS are available at a GitHub repository (https://github.com/PAT-TohokuUniv/PROTEUS.git).

#### Declarations

**Ethics approval and consent to participate** Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

**Competing interests** Not applicable.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Science, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan. <sup>2</sup>LATMOS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France. <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan. <sup>4</sup>Division for the Establishment of Frontier Sciences of Organization for Advanced Studies, Tohoku University, Sendai, Japan. <sup>5</sup>LAT-MOS/CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Paris, France.

Received: 21 December 2022 Accepted: 16 August 2023 Published online: 15 September 2023

#### References

- Arney G, Domagal-Goldman SD, Meadows VS, Wolf ET, Schwieterman E, Charnay B, Claire M, Hébrard E, Trainer MG (2016) The pale orange Dot: the spectrum and habitability of hazy archean earth. Astrobiology 16(11):873–899. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2015.1422
- Au JW, Cooper G, Brion CE (1993) The molecular and dissociative photoionization of ethane, propane, and *n*-butane: absolute oscillator strengths (10–80 eV) and breakdown pathways. Chem Phys 173:241–265. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(93)80143-w
- Braam M, Palmer P, Decin L, Ridgway RJ, Zamyatina M, Mayne NJ, Sergeev DE, Abraham NL (2022) Lightning-induced chemistry on tidally-locked earthlike exoplanets. Mon Not R Astron Soc 517(2):2383–2402. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/mnras/stac2722
- Burkholder JB, Sander SP, Abbatt J, Barker JR, Huie RE, Kolb CE, Kurylo MJ, Orkin VL, Wilmouth DM, Wine PH (2015) Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, evaluation No. 18, *JPL Publication* 15–10, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena. https://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov/pdf/JPL\_Publication\_15-10.pdf
- Catling DC, Kasting JF (2017) Atmospheric evolution on inhabitable lifeless worlds. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK
- Chaffin MS, Deighan J, Schneider NM, Stewart AIF (2017) Elevated atmospheric escape of atomic hydrogen from mars induced by high-altitude water. Nat Geosci 10:174–178. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2887
- Chamberlin PC, Eparvier FG, Knoer V, Leise H, Pankratz A, Snow M et al (2020) The flare irradiance spectral model-version 2 (FISM2). Space Weather 18:e2020SW002588. https://doi.org/10.1029/2020SW002588

Chen H, Wolf ET, Kopparapu R, Domagal-Goldman S, Horton DE (2018) Biosignature anisotropy modeled on temperate tidally locked M-dwarf planets. Astrophys J Lett 868:L6. https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/aaedb2

Chung C-Y, Chew EP, Cheng B-M, Bahou M, Lee Y-P (2001) Temperature dependence of absorption cross-section of H<sub>2</sub>O, HDO, and D<sub>2</sub>O in the spectral region 140–193 nm, nuclear instruments and methods in physics research section A: accelerators, spectrometers, detectors and associated equipment, 467–468. Part 2:1572–1576. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(01)00762-8

Cook GR, Metzger PH, Ogawa M (1968) Photoionization and absorption coefficients of N<sub>2</sub>O. J Opt Soc Am 58:129–136. https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSA. 58.000129

Cooke GJ, Marsh DR, Walsh C, Rugheimer S, Villanueva GL (2023) Variability due to climate and chemistry in observations of oxygenated earth-analogue exoplanets. Mon Not R Astron Soc 518(1):206–219. https://doi.org/10. 1093/mnras/stac2604

Damian V, Sandu A, Damian M, Potra F, Carmichael GR (2002) The kinetic preprocessor KPP—a software environment for solving chemical kinetics. Comput Chem Eng 26(11):1567–1579. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0098-1354(02)00128-X

Dotan I, Hierl PM, Morris RA, Viggiano AA (1997) Rate constants for the reactions of N+ and N2+ with O2 as a function of temperature (300–1800 K). Int J Mass Spectrom Ion Proc 167(168):223–230. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0168-1176(97)00077-3

Fox JL, Sung KY (2001) Solar activity variations of the Venus thermosphere/ ionosphere. J Geophys Res 106(A10):21305–21335. https://doi.org/10. 1029/2001JA000069

Gorshelev V, Serdyuchenko A, Weber M, Chehade W, Burrows JP (2014) High spectral resolution ozone absorption cross-sections—Part 1: measurements, data analysis and comparison with previous measurements around 293 K. Atmos Meas Tech 7(609–624):2014. https://doi.org/10. 5194/amt-7-609-2014

Grenfell JL, Gebauer S, Godolt M, Palczynski K, Rauer H, Stock J, von Paris P, Lehmann R, Selsis F (2013) Potential biosignatures in super-earth atmospheres II. photochemical responses. Astrobiology 13(5):415– 438. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2012.0926

Gürtler P, Saile V, Koch EE (1977) Rydberg series in the absorption spectra of H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O in the vacuum ultraviolet. Chem Phys Lett 51:386–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(77)80427-2

Hitchcock AP, Brion CE, van der Wiel MJ (1980) Absolute oscillator-strengths for valence-shell ionic photofragmentation of N<sub>2</sub>O and CO<sub>2</sub> (8–75 eV). Chem Phys 45:461–478. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(80)87015-7

Holland DMP, Shaw DA, McSweeney SM, MacDonald MA, Hopkirk A, Hayes MA (1993) A study of the absolute photoabsorption, photoionization and photodissociation cross sections and the photoionization quantum efficiency of oxygen from the ionization threshold to 490 Å. Chem Phys 173(2):315–331. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(93)80148-3

Huebner WF, Mukherjee J (2015) Photoionization and photodissociation rates in solar and blackbody radiation fields. Planet Space Sci 106:11–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pss.2014.11.022

Huestis DL, Berkowitz J (2011) Critical evaluation of the photoabsorption cross section of CO2 from 0.125 to 201.6 nm at room temperature advances in geosciences. Singapore, World scientific. https://doi.org/10.1142/97898 14355377\_0018

Huffman RE (1969) Absorption cross-sections of atmospheric gases for use in aeronomy. Can J Chem 47(10):1823–1834. https://doi.org/10.1139/ v69-298

Ibuki T, Cooper G, Brion CE (1989) Absolute dipole oscillator strength for photoabsorption and the molecular and dissociative photoionization of ethylene. Chem Phys 129:295–309. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(89)85002-5

Johnston HS, Chang S-G, Whitten G (1974) Photolysis of nitric acid vapor. J Phys Chem 78:1–7. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100594a001

Johnston HS, Davis HF, Lee YT (1996) NO<sub>3</sub> photolysis product channels: quantum yields from observed energy thresholds. J Phys Chem 100:4713– 4723. https://doi.org/10.1021/jp952692x Kasting JF, Liu SC, Donahue TM (1979) Oxygen levels in the prebiological atmosphere. J Geophys Res 84(C6):3097–3107. https://doi.org/10.1029/ JC084iC06p03097

Keller-Rudek H, Moortgat GK, Sander R, Sörensen R (2013) The MPI-Mainz UV/ VIS spectral atlas of gaseous molecules of atmospheric interest. Earth Syst Sci Data 5:365–373. https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-5-365-2013

Kim YH, Fox JL (1994) The chemistry of hydrocarbon ions in the Jovian ionosphere. Icarus 112:310–325. https://doi.org/10.1006/icar.1994.1186

Krasnopolsky VA (2009) A photochemical model of Titan's atmosphere and ionosphere. Icarus 201(1):226–256. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2008. 12.038

Krasnopolsky VA (2012) A photochemical model for the venus atmosphere at 47–112km. Icarus 218(1):230–246. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.icarus.2011. 11.012

Lee P (1955) Photodissociation and photoionization of oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) as inferred from measured absorption coefficients. J Opt Soc Am 45:703–709. https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSA.45.000703

Lu H-C, Chen K-K, Chen H-F, Cheng B-M, Ogilvie JF (2010) Absorption cross section of molecular oxygen in the transition E3Σu-, v = 0–X3Σg-, v = 0 at 38 K. Astronom Astrophys 520(A19):1–4. https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201013998

Lustig-Yaeger J, Meadows VS, Lincowski AP (2019) The detectability and characterization of the TRAPPIST-1 exoplanet atmospheres with JWST. Astron J 158:27. https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ab21e0

Margitan JJ, Watson RT (1982) Kinetics of the reaction of hydroxyl radicals with nitric acid. J Phys Chem 1982(86):3819–3824. https://doi.org/10.1021/j100216a022

Matsumi Y, Comes FJ, Hancock G, Hofzumahaus A, Hynes AJ, Kawasaki M, Ravishankara AR (2002) Quantum yields for production of O(<sup>1</sup>D) in the ultraviolet photolysis of ozone: recommendation based on evaluation of laboratory data. J Geophys Res 107(D3):ACH1-1-ACH1-12. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/2001JD000510

Meller R, Moortgat GK (2000) Temperature dependence of the absorption cross sections of formaldehyde between 223 and 323 K in the wave-length range 225–375 nm. J Geophys Res 105(D6):7089–7101. https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD901074

Minschwaner K, Anderson GP, Hall LA, Yoshino K (1992) Polynomial coefficients for calculating O2 Schumann-Runge cross sections at 0.5 cm-1 resolution. J Geophys Res 97(D9):10103–10108. https://doi.org/10.1029/92JD00661

Mota R, Parafita R, Giuliani A, Hubin-Franskin M-J, Lourenço JMC, Garcia G, Hoffmann SV, Mason MJ, Ribeiro PA, Raposo M, Limão-Vieira P (2005) Water VUV electronic state spectroscopy by synchrotron radiation. Chem Phys Lett 416:152–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2005.09.073

Nair H, Allen M, Anbar AD, Yung YL, Clancy RT (1994) A photochemical model of the martian atmosphere. Icarus 111(1):124–150. https://doi.org/10. 1006/icar.1994.1137

Nakamura Y, Terada K, Tao C, Terada N, Kasaba Y, Leblanc F et al (2022) Effect of meteoric ions on ionospheric conductance at Jupiter. J Geophys Res: Space Phys 127:e2022JA030312. https://doi.org/10.1029/2022JA030312

Ogawa S, Ogawa M (1975) Absorption cross sections of  $O_2(a^1\Delta_g)$  and  $O_2(X^3\Sigma_g^-)$ in the region from 1087 to 1700 Å". Can J Phys 53:1845–1852. https://doi. org/10.1139/p75-236

Osborne BA, Marston G, Kaminski L, Jones NC, Gingell JM, Mason N, Walker IC, Delwiche J, Hubin-Franskin M-J (2000) Vacuum ultraviolet spectrum of dinitrogen pentoxide. J Quant Spectrosc Radiat Transfer 64:67–74. https:// doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4073(99)00104-1

Patel MR, Zarnecki JC, Catling DC (2002) Ultraviolet radiation on the surface of mars and the beagle 2 UV sensor. Planet Space Sci 50(9):915–927. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0032-0633(02)00067-3

Pavlov AV (2014) Photochemistry of lons at D-region altitudes of the ionosphere: a review. Surv Geophys 35:259–334. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10712-013-9253-z

Rabalais JW, McDonald JM, Scherr V, McGlynn SP (1971) Electronic spectroscopy of isoelectronic molecules. II. Linear triatomic groupings containing sixteen valence electrons. Chem Rev 71:73–108. https://doi.org/10.1021/ cr60269a004

Ranjan S, Schwieterman EW, Harman C, Fateev A, Sousa-Silva C, Seager S, Hu R (2020) Photochemistry of anoxic abiotic habitable planet atmospheres: impact of new H<sub>2</sub>O cross-sections. Astrophys J 896:148. https://doi.org/ 10.3847/1538-4357/ab9363 Ren C, ManKenzie AR (2007) Closed-form approximations to the error and complementary error functions and their applications in atmospheric science. Atmos Sci Lett 8:70–73. https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.154

Richards PG, Fennelly JA, Torr DG (1994) EUVAC: a solar EUV flux model for aeronomic calculations. J Geophys Res 99(A5):8981–8992. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/94JA00518

Richards PG, Woods TN, Peterson WK (2006) HEUVAC: a new high resolution solar EUV proxy model. Adv Space Res 37(2):315–322. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.asr.2005.06.031

- Roldin P, Ehn M, Kurtén T et al (2019) The role of highly oxygenated organic molecules in the boreal aerosol-cloud-climate system. Nat Commun 10:4370. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-12338-8
- Ruberti M, Yun R, Gokhberg K, Kopelke S, Cederbaum LS, Tarantelli F, Averbukh V (2013) Total molecular photoionization cross-sections by algebraic diagrammatic construction-Stieltjes–Lanczos method: benchmark calculations. J Chem Phys 139:144107. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4824431
- Rugheimer S, Kaltenegger L, Zsom A, Segura A, Sasselov D (2013) Spectral fingerprints of earth-like planets around fgk stars. Astrobiology 13(3):251– 269. https://doi.org/10.1089/ast.2012.0888

Russell CT, Yu ZJ, Kivelson MG (2001) The rotation period of Jupiter. Geophys Res Lett 28(10):1911–1912. https://doi.org/10.1029/2001GL012917

Schmidt JA, Johnson MS, Schinke R (2013) Carbon dioxide photolysis from 150 to 210 nm: singlet and triplet channel dynamics, UV-spectrum, and isotope effects. Proc Natl Acad Sci 110(44):17691–17696. https://doi.org/ 10.1073/pnas.1213083110

Schunk RW, Nagy AF (2009) Ionospheres, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press

Schürgers M, Welge KH (1968) Absorptions koeffizient von H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> und N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub> zwischen 1200 und 2000 Å, Z. Naturforsch 23a:1508–1510. https://doi. org/10.1515/zna-1968-1011

- Scott GBI, Fairley DA, Milligan DB, Freeman CG, McEwan MJ (1999) Gas phase reactions of some positive ions with atomic and molecular oxygen and nitric oxide at 300 K. J Phys Chem A 103:7470–7473. https://doi.org/10. 1021/jp9913719
- Selwyn G, Podolske J, Johnston HS (1977) Nitrous oxide ultraviolet absorption spectrum at stratospheric temperatures. Geophys Res Lett 4:427–430. https://doi.org/10.1029/GL004i010p00427
- Serdyuchenko A, Gorshelev V, Weber M, Chehade W, Burrows JP (2014) High spectral resolution ozone absorption cross-sections—Part 2: temperature dependence. Atmos Meas Tech 7:625–636. https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-7-625-2014
- Smith FL III, Smith C (1972) Numerical evaluation of Chapman's grazing incidence integral ch (X, χ). J Geophys Res 77(19):3592–3597. https://doi.org/ 10.1029/JA077i019p03592
- Souza ACA, Srivastava SK (1996) Photoabsorption cross sections derived by the electron energy loss spectroscopy technique for ammonia, methane and acetylene in the photon energy range of 5 to 100 eV. J Braz Chem Soc 7:91–95. https://doi.org/10.5935/0103-5053.19960012
- Troe J (2005) Temperature and pressure dependence of ion-molecule association and dissociation reactions: the  $N_2^+ + N_2 (+ M) \Leftrightarrow N_4^+ (+ M)$  reaction. Phys Chem Chem Phys 7:1560–1567. https://doi.org/10.1039/B417945P
- Turnipseed AA, Vaghjiani GL, Thompson JE, Ravishankara AR (1992) Photodissociation of HNO<sub>3</sub> at 193, 222, and 248 nm: products and quantum yields. J Chem Phys 1992(96):5887–5895. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.462685
- Vandaele AC, Hermans C, Simon PC, Carleer M, Colins R, Fally S, Mérienne MF, Jenouvrier A, Coquart B (1998) Measurements of the NO<sub>2</sub> absorption cross-sections from 42000 cm-1 to 10000 cm-1 (238–1000 nm) at 220 K and 294 K. J Quant Spectrosc Radiat Transfer 59:171–184. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0022-4073(97)00168-4
- Verner DA, Yakovlev DG, Band IM, Trzhaskovskaya MB (1993) Subshell photoionization cross sections and ionization energies of atoms and ions from He to Zn. At Data Nucl Data Tables 55(2):233–280. https://doi.org/ 10.1006/adnd.1993.1022
- Verronen PT, Andersson ME, Marsh DR, Kovács T, Plane JMC (2016) WACCM-D—whole atmosphere community climate model with D-region ion chemistry. J Adv Model Earth Syst 8:954–975. https://doi.org/10.1002/ 2015MS000592
- Wayne RP, Barnes I, Burrows JP, Canosa-Mas CE, Hjorth J, Le Bras G, Moortgat GK, Perner D, Poulet G, Restelli G, Sidebottom H (1991) The nitrate radical: physics, chemistry, and the atmosphere. Atmos Environ 25A:1–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/0960-1686(91)90192-A

Williams, D. (2021). Planetary Fact Sheet, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. https://nssdc.gsfc.nasa.gov/planetary/factsheet/. Accessed 16 Oct 2022.

- Woods TN, Chamberlin PC, Harder JW, Hock RA, Snow M, Eparvier FG, Fontenla J, McClintock WE, Richard EC (2009) Solar irradiance reference spectra (SIRS) for the 2008 whole heliosphere interval (WHI). Geophys Res Lett 36:L01101. https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL036373
- Yoshida T, Aoki S, Ueno Y, Terada N, Nakamura Y, Shiobara K, Yoshida N, Nakagawa H, Sakai S, Koyama S (2023) Strong depletion of <sup>13</sup>C in CO induced by photolysis of CO<sub>2</sub> in the martian atmosphere calculated by a photochemical model. Planet Sci J 4:53. https://doi.org/10.3847/PSJ/acc030
- Yoshino K, Esmond JR, Cheung AS-C, Freeman DE, Parkinson WH (1992) High resolution absorption cross sections in the transmission window region of the Schumann–Runge bands and Herzberg continuum of O<sub>2</sub>. Planet Space Sci 40(2–3):185–192. https://doi.org/10.1016/0032-0633(92) 90056-T
- Yoshino K, Esmond JR, Parkinson WH, Ito K, Matsui T (1996) Absorption cross section measurements of water vapor in the wavelength region 120 to 188 nm. Chem Phys 211:387–391. https://doi.org/10.1016/0301-0104(96) 00210-8
- Yoshino K, Esmond JR, Parkinson WH, Ito K, Matsui T (1997) Absorption cross section measurements of water vapor in the wavelength region 120 nm to 188 nm (Chem. Phys. 211 (1996) 387–391). Chem Phys 215:429–430. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-0104(96)00381-3
- Yoshino K, Parkinson WH, Ito K, Matsui T (2005) Absolute absorption crosssection measurements of Schumann-Runge continuum of  $O_2$  at 90 and 295 K. J Mol Spectrosc 229:238–243. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jms.2004. 08.020
- Zahnle K, Claire M, Catling D (2006) The loss of mass-independent fractionation in sulfur due to a palaeoproterozoic collapse of atmospheric methane. Geobiology 4:271–283. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1472-4669. 2006.00085.x
- Zelikoff M, Watanabe K, Inn ECY (1953) Absorption coefficients of gases in the vacuum ultraviolet. Part II. nitrous oxide. J Chem Phys 21:1643–1647. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1698636

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

## Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- ► Rigorous peer review
- Open access: articles freely available online
- High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com